



# **CYBER STANDARDS DOCUMENT**

# NCSP Privileged Access Management standard

## **ABSTRACT:**

This standard defines the requirements and best practice for privileged access management which should be adopted to manage elevated access consistently and securely across national policing IT systems.

This standard adheres to the National Policing Community Security Policy Framework and is a suitable reference for community members, notably those who build and implement IT systems on behalf of national policing.

ISSUED	May 2025
PLANNED REVIEW DATE	May 2026
DISTRIBUTION	Community Security Policy Framework Members

#### **POLICY VALIDITY STATEMENT**

This standard is due for review on the date shown above. After this date, this document may become invalid.

Cyber Standard users should ensure that they are consulting the currently valid version of the documentation.





# **CONTENTS**

Comr	munity Security Policy Commitment	3
Intro	oduction	3
Owne	ıer	3
Purpo	oose	3
Audie	ence	4
Scope	e	4
Defin	nitions	4
Requ	uirements	7
1.	Privilege Discovery	8
2.	Privileged Accounts	11
3.	Privileged Access	13
4.	Privilege Governance	16
5.	Secrets and Passwords Management	19
6.	Privilege Session	21
7.	Break Glass Account	23
8.	Record and Audit Requirements	24
9.	PAM Security Requirements	25
Comr	munication approach	27
Revie	ew Cycle	27
Docu	ument Compliance Requirements	27
Equa	ality Impact Assessment	27
Docu	ument Information	28

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





## **Community Security Policy Commitment**

National Policing and its community members recognise that threats to policing information assets present significant risk to policing operations. National Policing and its community members are committed to managing information security and risk and maintaining an appropriate response to current and emerging threats, as an enabling mechanism for policing to achieve its operational objectives whilst preserving life, property, and civil liberties.

This standard in conjunction with the National Policing Community Security Policy (NPCSP) Framework and associated documents sets out National Policing requirements for Privileged Access Management.

### Introduction

Privileged Access Management (PAM) is an integral part of Identity and Access Management (IAM) with specific focus on protection, monitoring, detection and prevention of unauthorised privileged access to critical resources, sensitive data, business applications, networks and computing devices.

This standard defines additional and complementary security requirements to the NPCSP Identity and Access Management standard, which should be followed and implemented to effectively and securely, control and manage privileged access. Security controls defined in this standard are based on industry frameworks and security best practice and should be used as baseline security requirements for protecting privileged access across National policing systems.

The defined set of controls presented in this document could be easiest and most effectively met by the use of a dedicated PAM solution but can also be achieved without. It is expected that strategically, forces will strive toward maturing privilege access management by adoption of a dedicated PAM.

### <u>Owner</u>

National Chief Information Security Officer (NCISO).

#### **Purpose**

This standard alongside the NPCSP System Access and Identity & Access Management standards, helps organisations demonstrate compliance with the following NCSP policy statements:

System Access

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM** 

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document

**CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL** 





- Restrict access to applications, mobile devices, systems and networks to authorised individuals and services (entities) for specific lawful business purposes, as defined in a formal access control standard and supported by an Identity and Access Management (IAM) system.
- Ensure individuals are only granted access privileges in line with their role; authenticated using access control mechanisms (e.g. password, token or biometric); and subject to a rigorous sign-on process before being provided with approved levels of access.
- Ensure additional robust controls to limit privileged access to systems, networks or data.
- Ensure 3<sup>rd</sup> party access is strictly controlled.
- This document establishes a set of security requirements for Privileged Access Management that PDS / Forces / suppliers should work to, to ensure consistent security controls are followed when designing, implementing and managing privileged access local and national policing systems and data.
- Complement the NPCSP Identity and Access Management standard.

## <u>Audience</u>

This standard is aimed at:

- Staff across PDS and policing who build, implement and maintain ICT systems, either on behalf of National Policing or at a local force level.
- The user community, including those who have escalated privileges to provide administrative functions.
- Suppliers acting as service providers or developing products or services for PDS or policing.
- Auditors and penetration testers providing assurance services to PDS or policing.

#### Scope

- 1. The requirements of this standard are the foundation for National policing IT systems, applications, or service implementations. The requirements should be applied to new and existing installations.
- 2. This standard is applicable to any infrastructure, system, application, or IT solution that processes or stores policing information assets.
- 3. This standard is applicable to all systems used by community members to process, store and transmit policing data, more specifically data classified as OFFICIAL or above by the UK Government Security Classification Policy (GSCP). Note: systems processing data classified above OFFICIAL will attract additional controls.
- 4. The security control requirements laid out in this standard are vendor agnostic and applicable for all IT systems, applications, or service implementations that are provisioned for policing community of trust use.

## **Definitions**

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document

**CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL** 





### **Privileged account**

These exist in many different forms across an organisation and have the ability to make configuration changes, modify permissions, manage and access services and make fundamental changes to the security posture that a standard user is not capable of.

**Privileged service account** – applicable to both on-premises and cloud environments, are special type of accounts that represent a non-human entity such as an application, API or other services.

#### **Privilege Interface**

Can be also defined as an administration interface and typically allow privileged accounts inbound connections to different technologies to perform privileged/elevated tasks and operations. Privileged interfaces may come in different forms:

- Browser-based interface such as cloud service/application (e.g., AWS portal, Office 365)
- Management protocols such as SSH, PowerShell, RDP and VNC
- API management interface
- Thick clients allowing administration via dedicated software (API, protocol)

#### **Privilege Tiers**

Tier classification helps organisations to group different level of privileged access and privilege systems as not all administration is the same. <a href="NCSC's">NCSC's</a> tier classification is based upon risk to organisation should a privileged account be compromised or misused. The classification is as follows:

- Tier 0 Enterprise access, root administrators, root cloud accounts and highly privileged accounts, highly privileged systems such as PAM or systems to generate cryptographic material. This level of access provides ability to make fundamental changes to the security posture of the platform, system, or network.
- Tier 1 Highly privileged roles that can conduct operations on critical infrastructure, critical services within cloud services and important systems that contain sensitive data that other systems depend on, but it is more constrained than Tier 0.
- Tier 2 Privileged roles that can carry out privileged functions but more isolated in scope, allowing administration across smaller number of components. This could include a root administrator access to manage a specific application, single component or a front-end web server, that would be part of a wide architecture.
- Tier 3 Privilege roles that allows to execute constrained privileged actions such as password resets, manage single/small number of cloud services of lower significance.

**Note** – The Privilege tier table has been extended with the addition of the "Emergency Tier" that includes a Tier 0 type account, Break Glass account, for emergency access to privileged systems.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service

**DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document **CLASSIFICATION**: OFFICIAL





Privilege	Privilege Functions	Security Controls
Tier Emergency Tier	Break Glass account	<ul> <li>Should follow process controls rather than technical security controls</li> <li>Usage must be specifically monitored</li> <li>Password should be split and stored in two or more places and reset after each use</li> <li>Approval required from a delegated party on behalf of the system owner</li> </ul>
Tier 0	<ul> <li>M365/AWS Root admin</li> <li>Microsoft Entra ID (Azure AD) Global Administrator</li> <li>PAM root administrator</li> <li>Enterprise or Domain administrators</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Privilege Access Workstation (PAW)</li> <li>Dedicated Intermediary</li> <li>Just-in-time-access (JITA) with limited duration elevations</li> <li>Just-enough-access (JEA) RBAC)</li> <li>Multi-factor Authentication (MFA)</li> <li>Enhanced Conditional Access (e.g., continuous evaluation)</li> <li>Enhanced Session Monitoring (See section 6 Privilege Session)</li> </ul>
Tier 1	<ul> <li>Core service administrator (e.g., security, backup service)</li> <li>Administration of a critical business system (Command and Control, Records Management System)</li> <li>Teams Administrator</li> <li>SharePoint Administrator</li> <li>Exchange Online Administrator</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PAW</li> <li>Intermediary</li> <li>JITA</li> <li>JEA (RBAC)</li> <li>MFA</li> <li>Enhanced Session Monitoring</li> </ul>
Tier 2	<ul> <li>Application administrator accounts that have full access to specific applications, workloads and the data stored in them</li> <li>Server Service Administrator</li> <li>Privileged user password reset</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PAW (could be optional for least privileged roles within Tier 2, risk-based)</li> <li>Intermediary (could be optional for least privileged roles within Tier 2, risk-based)</li> <li>JITA</li> <li>JEA (RBAC)</li> <li>MFA</li> <li>Session Monitoring</li> </ul>

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





Privilege Tier	Privilege Functions	Security Controls
Tier 3	<ul> <li>Low privileged role within Azure to managed specific service or specific privilege task such as password reset of non-privileged user account</li> <li>Workstation support</li> <li>SharePoint Site Owner</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JITA</li> <li>JEA (RBAC)</li> <li>MFA</li> <li>Session Monitoring</li> </ul>

**Note** – MFA above means that an MFA prompt must be displayed upon login to the privileged interface. Tier 3 administration will still require MFA as part of a user's normal workstation authentication process.

#### **Privileged Access Workstation (PAW)**

Dedicated workstation (physical or virtual) to perform administrative access, typically focusing on the highest privileged system and roles, that is hardened, strictly monitored and provides segregation from an environment through security and technological controls. Additionally, a PAW device should not provide access to productivity tools. PAW is an important component of Privileged Access Management strategy.

#### **Password Vault**

Centralised digital store that protects all types of passwords, secrets and credentials that control access to business privileged interfaces. This could include cloud-based vault (e.g., AWS/Azure) that could operate independently or integrate with PAM solutions.

#### **Zero Trust**

Use the principle of "Never trust, always verify and assume breach". Zero trust architecture is an approach to system design where there is no implicit trust granted to assets and users on the network. Instead, every session within Zero Trust architecture is authenticated and authorised prior establishing connection to a target based on an access policy.

#### **Conditional Access**

Conditional access polices provide additional and more granular control of access to resources and services based on various signals and informed decisions.

#### Requirements

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service

**DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document

**CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL** 





# 1. Privilege Discovery

Ref	Control	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance
	Name		reference	Metric
1.1	Privileged Identity Discovery	All privileged accounts and credentials, both human and machine, with access to systems, infrastructure and applications must be discovered, recorded, and tierclassified (refer to Privilege Tier classification in the Definitions section) across all platforms. Examples include, but not limited to:  Domain and local administrative accounts  Break glass accounts  Root accounts  Cloud accounts  Service accounts  Accounts with embedded/hard-coded credentials  Automation accounts to run workloads (Security tools like Tenable, Dev Ops)  Infrastructure accounts  IoT  Where possible, discovered privileged accounts should be automatically onboarded to a privilege management solution.	NIST CSF ID.AM-1, ID.AM-5, ID.GV-4, PR.AC-1, PR.AC-4, DE.CM-7 CIS 6.6 ISO 27001:8.2a	Documented configurations and processes.  Outputs from identity/asset discovery tools that can confirm that the discovery process has implemented and followed.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





Ref	Control	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance
	Name		reference	Metric
1.1	Privileged	Continuous privileged account discovery	NIST CSF	Documented
	Identity	should be enforced. Where possible, the	ID.AM-1,	configurations
	Discovery	process should be automated.	ID.AM-2,	and processes.
	continued		ID.GV-4,	
			PR.AC-1,	Outputs from
			PR.AC-4,	identity/asset
			DE.CM-7	discovery tools
			CIS 6.6	that can confirm
			0.0	that the
			ISO	discovery
			27001:8.2a	process has
				been
				implemented, followed and
				access
				attestation was
1.2	Dairellana	All business suitised assets line of a second	NICT CCE	conducted.
1.2	Privilege	All business-critical assets/interfaces must	NIST CSF	Documented
	Interface	be discovered and classified accordingly.	ID.AM-1,	configurations
	Discovery	Those may include:	ID.AM-2	and processes.
		Domain controllers		Outputs from
		PAM servers		Outputs from
		Hypervisors		identity/asset
		CI/CD servers and services (GitHub,		discovery tools that can confirm
		Azure DevOps)		that the
		• Databases		
		Core service consoles		discovery
		<ul> <li>Network devices</li> </ul>		process has
		<ul> <li>Cloud services e.g., Azure and AWS</li> </ul>		been
		portals, SaaS applications like		implemented
		Office365 or SailPoint		and followed.
		Other		

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service

**CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL** 

**DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





Ref	Control	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance
	Name		reference	Metric
1.2	Privilege	Continuous and automated discovery of	ISO	Documented
	Interface	all privileged interfaces should be	27001:8.2a,	configurations
	Discovery	employed.	ID.AM-1,	and processes.
	continued		ID.GV-4,	_
			PR.AC-1,	Outputs from
			PR.AC-4,	identity/asset
			DE.CM-7	discovery tools
			CIS 6.6	that can confirm
				that the
				discovery
				process has
				been
				implemented
				and followed.
1.3	Privileged	Define tiers of privilege access,	NIST CSF	Documented
	Identity		ID.AM-5,	privileged
	Classification	Tier 0 being the most privileged account	PR.AC.4	identity
		with ability to control the entire	SOGP AC1.3.1,	classification
		environment or with access to most	AC1.3.2	with security
		sensitive system and Tier 3 being the least	AC1.3.2	controls and
		privileged account and enforce		polices defined
		appropriate control set/polices for each		and enforced as
		tier.		per each tier.
		Please refer to Definitions section for		
1.4	Privilege	reference.  Root and administrative privileges should	NIST CSF	Documented
1.4				_
	purge	be removed from endpoints and replaced with PAM controlled access where	PR.AC-1, PR.AC-4	processes and procedures.
		possible.	PN.AC-4	procedures.
		μοσσιμία.		Output from
				tools managing
				privileged
				accounts on
				endpoints.
				chapolits.
				Internal IT
				health check.
				neaith check.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





# 2. Privileged Accounts

Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
2.1	Privileged Account Separation	Privileged accounts must not be used for day-to-day business (such as email and internet browsing) and only dedicated for activities requiring elevated access.	NIST CSF PR.AC- 4, PR.PT.6 SOGP – AC.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 NCSC CAF – B2.c	Documented design decisions and enforced system policies. Internal IT health check.
2.2		Privileged tasks should not be permitted from less trusted system/environments/network boundaries to more trusted system to ensure privilege task integrity. Browsedown approach should be followed and/or dedicated PAW should be provided.  Tier 3 privileged access may be an exception from this rule, where a risk assessment has been carried out for a specific use case.	NIST CSF PR.AC- 5, PR.PT.3	Documented design decisions and enforced system and security policies.  Internal IT health check.
2.3		Privileged accounts should not be permitted to perform elevated operations from untrusted devices.  Tier 3 privileged access may be an exception from this rule, where a risk assessment has been carried out for a specific use case.	NIST CSF PR.AC- 5, PR.PT.3	Documented design decisions and enforced system and security policies.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service

**DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document **CLASSIFICATION**: OFFICIAL





Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
2.4	Unique credentials	Systems should have unique passwords assigned to prevent reuse from system to system  Single Sign-On authentication should be prioritised where possible, with a password manager solution injecting passwords as required during an authentication session.	NIST CSF PR.AC.1, PR.AC.4, PR.AC.7	Documented design decisions and enforced system policies.  Internal IT health check.
2.5	Service accounts	Privileged service accounts must only be associated with one service or service cluster (a group of the same applications/tasks under the same service).  • Conditional access polices should be applied to further secure privilege services and their scope.  • Interactive log-on sessions must not be permitted and any logon attempts must be logged and altered on.  All service accounts must have an owner allocated who is responsible for maintaining the account.	NIST CSF PR.AC.1, PR.AC7, DE.CM-3	Documented design decisions and enforced system policies.  Internal IT health check.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





## 3. Privileged Access

	rivileged Access	Adiaine ne ne neine ne est	Cambral	Commings
кеј	Control Name	Minimum requirement		•
3.1 3.2	Zero Trust  Least privilege	Zero trust approach should be implemented and followed for users and devices when managing privileged access to continuously verify the requester's identity and role as well as the requesting device's health posture and credentials.  Privilege requestor should be automatically provided with "Just In Time Administration - JITA" temporary credential to complete the required task when accessing system's privileged administration interfaces and subsequently remove them once the task is complete or the window or context for authorised access has expired.  Requests must justify intended actions each time privileged access is required.  Risk-based approach should be considered to determine access time frame and controls for JITA policies.  All privilege JITA requests should be audited and monitored.  Any requests should be integrated with a workflow tool to capture requested change and change resolution.  JITA should not be applied to privileged service accounts.	Control reference  NIST CSF PR.AC-5, PR.AC-7  ISO 27001:8.2j,d NIST CSF PR.AC-4, PR.DS-5, NIST 800-53v5 AC-3(6), NCSC CAF - B2.c	Compliance Metric  Documented architectural design decisions and enforced system polices.  Documented design decisions and enforced system and security policies.  Output from tools managing privilege access.
3.3		Privilege requestor must be provided with "Just Enough Administration - JEA" permissions to complete the	ISO 27001:8.2j,d	Documented design decisions

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document

**CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL** 





Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance
			reference	Metric
		required task when accessing	NIST CSF PR.AC-	and enforced
		system's high privileged	4, PR.DS-5, NIST	system and
		administration interfaces.	800-53v5 AC-	security policies.
		<ul> <li>Requests must justify intended actions each time privileged access is required.</li> <li>Risk-based approach should be considered to determine access time frame and controls for JEA policies.</li> <li>All privilege JEA requests should be audited and monitored.</li> <li>Any requests should be integrated with a workflow tool to capture requested change and change resolution.</li> <li>If a 'built in' role's permissions exceed the principle of JEA, then a custom permissions role should</li> </ul>	3(6), NCSC CAF – B2.c	Output from tools managing privileged.
		be created and used in favour.		
3.4	Dynamic	Privileges should be dynamically and	NIST CSF ID.AM-	Documented
	privilege	automatically managed where	6 PR.AC-1,4,6	design decisions,
	management	possible, allowing automatic adjustments based on defined criteria and/or rules. The following should be considered:	NCSC CAF – B2.c	configurations and enforced system polices.
		Operation out of hours		Output from tools
		<ul> <li>Emergency access</li> <li>Recertification</li> </ul>		managing privileged.
		<ul> <li>Joiners-Movers-Leavers (JML)</li> <li>Attribute-based Access Control (ABAC)</li> <li>Geolocation</li> <li>Threat intelligence</li> <li>Risk</li> </ul>		

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service

**DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document **CLASSIFICATION**: OFFICIAL





Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance
			reference	Metric
3.5	Authentication	Authentication for privileged requests	NIST CSF -	Documented
		must be in line with IAM standard.	PR.AC-1,3,6,7	design decisions,
			SOGP – AC3.2	configurations
		Multi-factor authentication (MFA)		and enforced
		must be enforced when the privileged		system policies.
		credentials are requested excluding		
		emergency break glass accounts		Alignment with
		(Exception are all Microsoft Cloud		IAM standard and
		accounts, including break glass, that		Volume 2 IAM
		are mandated now to have MFA		blueprint.
		enabled)		

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service

**CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL** 

**DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





## 4. Privilege Governance

Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
4.1	Define Privileged Roles	RBAC privilege roles must be carefully and granularly defined based on business requirements and risk-based approach to privileged system/application/service as per defined privileged Tier classification.	NIST CSF ID-AM- 5,6 PR.AC-1, 4,6 SOGP- AC1.1, 1.2	Documented RBAC process. Documented risk- based decisions.
4.2	Approve Privileged Role	Process must be defined for creation and approval of new or modification of existing roles.  • Multi-party approval from Information Security for the highest privileged roles Tier 0, Tier 1, approval should be considered.  • A simplified approval process could be considered for least privileged roles – Tier 2, Tier 3.  Approval process for allocation of people to privileged roles should also be defined.	NIST CSF PR.AC- 1, 4,6 ID.GV-2 SOGP – AC1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 NCSC CAF – B2.c	Documented privilege governance process.  Output from tools managing privileged access.
4.3	Assign Privileged Roles	Role assignment should be in line with the NEP IAM and PS LLD – Volume 8 – IAM Governance utilising an identity governance tool and align with IAM standard.	NIST CSF PR.AC- 1, 6 SOGP – AC1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 NCSC CAF – B2.c	Documented privilege governance process.  Output from tools managing privileged access.  Alignment with IAM standard and Vol 8.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
4.4	Revoke Privileged Roles	Role revocation should be automated where possible and in line with the NEP IAM and PS LLD – Volume 8 – IAM Governance utilising an identity governance tool and align with IAM standard.	NIST CSF PR.AC- 1, 6 SOGP – AC1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 NCSC CAF – B2.c	Documented privilege governance process.  Output from tools managing privileged access.  Alignment with IAM standard and Vol 8.
4.5	Review Privileged Roles	The membership of all privileged roles, including non-human and application identities, must be reviewed:  • Every 30 days  • On demand (e.g., in response to an incident or during assessment)  Please refer to the IAM standard for requirements details.	NIST CSF PR.AC- 1, 6 SOGP – AC1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 NCSC CAF – B2.c	Documented privilege governance process.  Output from tools managing privileged access.  Alignment with IAM standard.
4.6	Change process	A change process should be defined to record any modifications to roles and assignments by administrators responsible for managing privileged roles (e.g., new role created, scope of role expanded, or users/systems added or removed) and approval.	NIST CSF ID.GV- 1, ID-GV-2 SOGP – AC1.3	Documented privilege governance process.  Output from tools managing privileged access.  Change process records.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
4.7	Access Approval	An approval process must be accessible and timely enough to enable requestors to complete their task. The process should be proportional to the risk-based tier approach and adequate approval mechanism should be applied. Those should include:  • Approval for an appropriate group for the highest privileged accounts – Emergency tier, Tier 0 and/or Tier 1  • Rule-based auto approval for least privileged account – Tier 2, Tier 3.  • Elevation notifications to relevant stakeholders	NIST CSF PR.AC- 1,6,7 SOGP – AC1.1, 1.2, 1.3, NCSC CAF – B2.c	Documented privilege governance process.  Output from tools managing privileged access.
4.8	Access	Automatically remove privileges from privilege management system/records when the infrastructure is deprovisioned.	NIST CSF PR.AC- 1,6,7 SOGP – AC1.1, 1.2, 1.3 NCSC CAF – B2.c	Documented privilege governance process.  Output from tools managing privileged access.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





## 5. Secrets and Passwords Management

Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance
			reference	Metric
5.1	Secrets and	See the Password standard.	NIST CSF PR.AC-	Alignment with
	Passwords		1,5,6,7, PR.DS-5	Password
	Complexity		NIST 800-53v5	Standard.
			IA-5(1)	Daarmaantad
			, ,	Documented
			SOGP – AC2.2	password policies.
5.2	Secrets	Privileged passwords, credentials, and	NIST CSF PR.AC-	Documented
	Obfuscation	secrets should never be revealed to	1,5,6,7, PR.DS-5	design decisions
		requesting users and should be	NIST 800-53v5	and enforced
		passed/proxied via an intermediary solution upon user/service/application	IA-5(1)	system policies.
		being authenticated.	SOGP – AC2.2	Security testing.
				Output from a
				tool managing
				secrets rotation.
5.3	Secrets	Privileged passwords, credentials, and	NIST CSF PR.AC-	Documented
	Management	secrets (e.g., API keys, Tokens,	1,5,6,7, PR.DS-5	design decisions
		Certificates, JSON files, XML files,	NIST 800-53v5	and enforced
		private keys, others) for applications, services and devices should be	IA-5(1)	system policies.
		centrally secured (e.g., Azure Vault),	SOGP – AC2.2	Security testing.
		managed and protected in a tamper-		Output from a
		proof vault and released upon		tool managing
		authorised request to human and non- human (applications/services)		secrets rotation.
		identities.		
		Non-human credentials should never		
		be revealed.		
5.4	Hard-coded	Credentials must never be hard-coded	NIST CSF PR.AC-	Documented
	Credentials	and applications/services should be	1,5,6,7, PR.DS-5	design decisions,
		using secure and authenticated APIs to	NIST 800-53v5	processes and
		safely request credentials/secrets from the secrets vault.	IA-5(1)	enforced system
		the secrets vault.		policies. Code
			SOGP – AC2.2	review report.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
5.5	Secrets Rotation	Automatic rotation of passwords, credentials or secrets should be based on workflows, tier classification and based on risk.  • Break glass account upon every use  • Non-human accounts to rotate based on Tier classification (e.g., 30 days for Tier 0, 90 days for Tier 3)  • Privilege user account should not be regularly rotated as in line with NCSC guidance	NIST CSF PR.AC- 1,5,6,7, PR.DS-5 NIST 800-53v5 IA-5(1,18) SOGP – AC2.2	Documented design decisions and enforced system polices. Output from a tool managing secrets rotation.
5.6	Secrets Deployment	Rotated credentials should be automatically propagated, where possible, to all services and/or systems.	NIST CSF PR.AC- 1,5,6,7, PR.DS-5 NIST 800-53v5 IA-5(1,18) SOGP – AC2.2	Documented design decisions and enforced system policies. Output from a tool managing secrets rotation.
5.7	Vault Security	Access to the password vault must be specifically protected and be approved upon the following:  • Approval for an appropriate group for the highest privileged accounts.  • Multifactor authentication (MFA) must be enforced upon access to the vault.  • Access from PAW and by privileged accounts only.	NIST CSF PR.AC- 1,5,6,7, PR.DS-5 NIST 800-53v5 IA-5(1,18) SOGP – AC2.2	Documented design decisions, processes and enforced system polices.  Penetration testing.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





### 6. Privilege Session

Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance
, nej	Control Nume	William requirement	reference	Metric
6.1	Session management	All privileged session management must be controlled, monitored and recorded.	NIST CSF PR.PT-4 DE.CM-1,3,4 NIST 800-53v5 AC-12	Documented configurations, processes and enforced system policies.
6.2	Session policies	Privilege session policies must be defined to determine what tools, programs, activities, executed commands and controls should be enforced and permitted per defined roles.	NIST CSF PR.PT-4 DE.CM-1,3,4 NIST 800-53v5 AC-6(3)	Documented configurations and enforced system policies.
6.3	Session Isolation	All established privileged sessions (e.g., RDP/SSH/web session) that enduser establishes to a privileged target interface should be isolated from the end-user's workstation.	NIST CSF PR.PT-4 DE.CM-1,3,4 NIST 800-53v5 AC-6(3)	Documented configurations and enforced system and security policies.  Output from a tool managing privileged sessions.
6.4	Session Protection	All established privileged sessions must be protected from sessions hijacking, unauthorised file downloads, access to clipboard and other malicious attacks.	NIST CSF PR.PT-4 DE.CM-1,3,4 NIST 800-53v5 AC-6(3)	Documented configurations and enforced system and security policies.  Output from a tool managing privileged sessions.  Penetration testing.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
6.5	Session Analytics	Privileged sessions should be automatically analysed to detect any abnormal/malicious activities and paused or stopped until session legitimacy is proven.	NIST CSF PR.PT-4  DE.CM-1,3,4  RS.AN-3  NIST 800-53v5  AC-6(3)	Documented configurations and enforced system and security policies.  Output from a tool managing privileged sessions.
6.6	Session Recording	Automated privileged sessions with a target resource/system should be recorded.  • Risk-based approach should be applied to determine how session should be recorded (e.g., video/ keystrokes).	NIST CSF PR.PT- 4 DE.CM-1,3,4 RS.AN-3 NIST 800-53v5 AC-6(3)	Documented configurations and enforced system policies.  Documented risk-based decisions.
6.7	Session Replay	Replaying of recorded privileged sessions should be possible for training, event review and investigations.	NIST CSF PR.PT-4 DE.CM-1,3,4 RS.AN-3 NIST 800-53v5 AC-6(3)	Documented configurations and enforced system policies for session replay.  Output for a tool managing privileged sessions.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





## 7. Break Glass Account

Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
7.1	Emergency Access	<ul> <li>Each critical system must have at least two break glass accounts.</li> <li>Break glass process must be defined.</li> <li>Notification upon break glass use must be sent to dedicated members. Break glass passwords must be changed upon every use.</li> <li>Break glass accounts must not be stored in a location which depends on the same authentication provider.</li> </ul>	NIST CSF PR.AC- 1,6,7 SOGP – AC1.1, 1.2, 1.3 NCSC CAF B2.c	Documented processes, configurations and enforced system polices.
7.2	Audit Trail	Use of break glass accounts must be recorded and provide full audit trail, clearly showing who and when accessed emergency credentials and what actions were performed.	NIST CSF PR.PT-1 SOGP – SE1.1 NCSC CAF C1.a	Audit reports.  Output from a tool managing break glass accounts. auditing controls have been implemented.
7.3	Alternative	Any break glass processes should be routinely updated and manually tested to ensure effectiveness and change control.	NIST CSF PR.AC- 1, ID.GV-1, ID- GV-2 SOGP – AC1.3	Documented processes and reports from tested procedures.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





## 8. Record and Audit Requirements

Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance Motric
8.1	Auditing	Auditing rules in Security Information and Management (SIEM) should be configured to automatically notify upon defined suspicious events such as:	reference NIST CSF, DE.CM- 1, DE.CM-3 SOGP – SE1.1, SE1.2	Metric  Documented configurations and enforced polices to capture defined events.  Output from a protective monitoring tool/SIEM.  Audit reports.
8.2	Audit Logs Security	Audit logs must be adequately protected to prevent unauthorised access and ensure integrity.	NIST CSF, DE.CM- 1, DE.CM-3 SOGP – SE1.1, SE1.2	Internal IT Health check or security testing confirming that adequate controls are implemented to protect audit logs.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





## 9. PAM Security Requirements

Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
9.1	Authentication	Single Sign-On (SSO) should be enforced on access to PAM solution as the primary authentication mechanism. (excluding break-glass accounts)	NIST CSF PR.AC- 1,6,7 SOGP – AC3.2	Documented design decisions, configurations and enforced system policies.
9.2		Multi-factor authentication (MFA) must be enforced for all administrators to access PAM solution. (excluding break- glass accounts apart from Microsoft Cloud accounts)	NIST CSF - PR.AC-1,6,7 SOGP – AC3.2	
9.3	Least Privilege	PAM administrators must follow the least privilege principle.	ISO 27001:8.2j,d NIST 800-53v5 AC-6, NCSC CAF – B2.c SOGP – AC1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4	Documented design decisions, configurations and enforced system policies.  Internal IT Health check.
9.4	Device Access	Only trusted, authorised and/or dedicated privileged devices must be used to access PAM (e.g., PAW).	NIST CSF PR.AC- 7, PR.PT-1	Documented design decisions, configurations and enforced system policies.  Internal IT Health check.
9.5	Protocols	Connections to PAM must employ encryption in transit.	NIST CSF PR.AC- 5, PR.DS-2	Formal IT Health Check can confirm that appropriate PAM controls have been implemented.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





Ref	Control Name	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
9.6	PAM Hardening	PAM system should be continuously tested for vulnerabilities and hardened.	SOGP – BA1.2.3, HE1.1.10, HE2.1.1, HE2.1.9	Internal IT Health check.  Reports from penetration testing and vulnerability testing.
9.7	PAM Protective Monitoring	All administrative connections to PAM must be actively monitored with sessions security controls applied.	NIST CSF PR.PT- 4 DE.CM-1, DE.CM-3 SOGP – SE1.1, SE1.2	Documented configurations and enforced security policies.
9.8		All PAM logs must be actively monitored within SIEM Logs must be securely stored and always available. Audit policies should align with the NMC auditing requirements to provide maximum situational awareness within the deployed environment and to avoid excessive log storage costs.	NIST CSF PR.PT- 4, DE.CM-1, DE.CM-3 SOGP – SE1.1, SE1.2 NIST CSF DE.CM-1,3,4 NIST 800-53v5 AC-6(3)	Output from a protective monitoring tool/SIEM. Audit reports.
9.9	PAM Managed Account credentials	For PAM account credentials complexity refer to Password Standard.	NIST CSF PR.AC-	Alignment with Password Standard.
9.10	PAM break- glass account credentials	<ul> <li>Break-glass account credentials must be:         <ul> <li>Master credentials complexity (Refer to Password Standard)</li> <li>Master PAM credentials should be stored encrypted in an alternative secure location (e.g., physical safe or another credentials vault).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	NIST CSF ID.GV- 1,3 PR.AC- 1,3,4,7 DE.DP-2	Alignment with Password Standard. Internal IT Health check.

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





## Communication approach

This document will be communicated as follows:

- Internal peer review by the members of the National Cyber Policy & Standards Working Group (NCPSWG), which includes PDS and representatives from participating forces.
- Presentation to the National Cyber Policy & Standards Board (NCPSB) for approval.
- Formal publication and external distribution to PDS community, police forces and associated bodies.

For external use (outside PDS), this standard should be distributed within IT teams to help complete an initial gap analysis which can inform any implementation plan. This implementation plan can be shared with force SIROs / Security Management Forum. Consideration should also be given to raising awareness amongst force personnel of the implementation of this standard where it may affect them.

Measurables generated by adopting this standard can also form part of regular cyber management reporting.

### **Review Cycle**

This standard will be reviewed at least annually (from the date of publication) and following any major change to Information Assurance (IA) strategy, membership of the community, or an identified major change to the cyber threat landscape. This ensures IA requirements are reviewed and that the standard continues to meet the objectives and strategies of the police service.

## **Document Compliance Requirements**

(Adapt according to Force or PDS Policy needs.)

#### **Equality Impact Assessment**

(Adapt according to Force or PDS Policy needs.)

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM** 

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service

**DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document

**CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL** 





## **Document Information**

## **Document Location**

https://knowledgehub.group/web/national-standards/policing-standards

**Revision History** 

Version	Author	Description	Date
0.1	PDS Cyber Architects	Initial version	25/09/23
1.0	PDS Cyber Architects	Updates following NCPSB review.	12/03/24
1.1	PDS Cyber Architects	Annual review	12/04/25

**Approvals** 

Version	Name	Role	Date
1.0	NCPSB	National Cyber Policy & Standards Board	23/05/24
1.1	NCPSB	National Cyber Policy & Standards Board	22/05/25

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

**COPYRIGHT**: Police Digital Service

**CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL** 

**DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document





## **Document References**

Document Name	Version	Date
ISF - Standard of Good Practice (for Information Security)	v2024	07/24
ISO 27002:2022 - Information security, Cybersecurity and privacy protection – Information security controls	v2022	02/22
CIS Controls	v8	05/21
NIST Cyber Security Framework	v1.1	04/18
CSA Cloud Controls Matrix	v4	01/21
10 Steps to Cyber Security - NCSC.GOV.UK	Web Page	05/21
NPCSP Identity and Access  Management Standard	Current	07/24
NPCSP Password Standard	Current	04/24
NPCSP NEP IAM and PS LLD – Volume 2 - IAM	Current	02/25
NPCSP NEP IAM and PS – Volume 8 – IAM Governance	Current	05/22

**VERSION**: 1.1 **DATE**: 12/04/2025

**REFERENCE**: PDS-CSP-STD-PAM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service

**CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL** 

**DOCUMENT SIZE**: 29-Page Document