



NCSP CYBER STANDARD DOCUMENT

Information Management

ABSTRACT:

This standard defines the requirements to implement Information Management as mandated in the National Community Security Policy. It encompasses the management of policing information within the OFFICIAL tier of the Government Security Classification model.

Appendix A – Terms & Abbreviations

ISSUED	November 2024
PLANNED REVIEW DATE	October 2025
DISTRIBUTION	Community Security Policy Framework Members

POLICY VALIDITY STATEMENT

This standard is due for review on the date shown above. After this date, this document may become invalid.

Cyber Standard users should ensure that they are consulting the currently valid version of the documentation.





CONTENTS

Community Security Folicy Community	
Introduction	3
Owner	3
Audience	4
Scope	5
Requirements	6
Communication approach	21
Review Cycle	22
Document Compliance Requirements	22
Equality Impact Assessment	22
Document Information	23
Document Location	23
Revision History	23
Approvals	23
Document References	24
Annondix A. Torms and Abbroviations	35

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Community Security Policy Commitment

National Policing and its community members recognise that threats to policing information assets present significant risk to policing operations. National Policing and its community members are committed to managing information security and risk and maintaining an appropriate response to current and emerging threats, as an enabling mechanism for policing to achieve its operational objectives whilst preserving life, property, and civil liberties.

This standard in conjunction with the National Policing Community Security Policy Framework and associated documents sets out National Policing requirements for handling policing information at the OFFICIAL classification tier as stated in the Government Security Classification Framework.

Introduction

This Information Management standard specifies requirements for secure management of policing information throughout the entire information lifecycle. It aims to provide members of the community of trust with clear direction to protect confidentiality, integrity and availability of policing information, and to maintain compliance with legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements.

Policing processes and stores a vast amount of sensitive information, thus it is critical to have robust Information Management practices in place to prevent risks of data breaches, data loss, loss of public confidence, reputational damage, financial penalties, operational impacts etc.

<u>Owner</u>

National Chief Information Security Officer (NCISO).

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Purpose

The purpose of this standard is to:

Help organisations demonstrate compliance with the following National Community Security Policy (NCSP) policy statements:

Information Management

- To establish Information Management practices and responsibilities to protect policing information against corruption, loss, and unauthorised disclosure.
- To securely manage policing information throughout all stages of the information lifecycle create, process, transmit, store and dispose.
- To align policing to the Government Security Classification Policy (GSCP) and protect policing information accordingly to its classification tier.

Audience

This standard is aimed at:

- Any member of the policing Community of Trust who has access to policing information or national policing systems.
- Member Senior Information Risk Owners (SIROs), Information Asset Owners (IAOs), Information Security Officers (ISOs), Data Protection Officers (DPOs), information security practitioners
- Information & Cyber risk practitioners and managers.
- Suppliers acting as service providers or developing products or services for members of the policing community of trust who may have access to policing information assets.
- Auditors providing assurance services to PDS or policing.

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document

CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL- FOR PUBLIC RELEASE





Scope

- 1. This standard applies to all policing information classified at the OFFICIAL tier. The requirements described in this standard are the minimum baseline for all levels of classification under the GSCP.
- 2. OFFICIAL information marked SENSITIVE is information that is not intended for public release and that is of at least some interest to threat actors (internal or external), including activists or the media. OFFICIAL information that uses the SENSITIVE caveat is likely to be of interest to threat actors due to its sensitivity or topical significance. A compromise could cause moderate, short-term damage. Such information should be identified using the SENSITIVE marking and additional handling controls applied.
- 3. The requirement for SECRET assets is described separately to this standard as the controls are in addition to those needed for OFFICIAL. For SECRET and TOP SECRET systems and information, guidance should be sought from the assurer or IAO.
- 4. This standard will be supported by the policing security classifications guideline.
- 5. Policing information can include but is not limited to digital or physical media, or unrepresented information such as ideas, speech, knowledge and thoughts that are intangible.
- 6. This standard applies to any member of the policing Community of Trust and applicable third parties to the policing community.

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Requirements

(Align the requirements -actions to take, to specific controls and control objectives. Include suggestions for measurements to help evidence / monitor compliance. Example given below.)

This section details the minimum requirements to implement effective Information Management to securely protect policing information.

Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
1	Information Security Governance		
1.1	Identify and document all legal, regulatory, contractual requirements relevant to information security and the organisation's approach to meet these requirements. Examples of relevant requirements: UK DPA 2018 GDPR NPCC PDS standards HMG Security Policy Framework Contracts with suppliers	ISF IM 2.1 ISF IM 2.2 ISF IM 1.3 ISO 27002:2013 18.1.1 ISO 27002:2022 5.31 NIST CSF ID.GV-3 Security Governance Standard	Review of information security policies, procedures, and contracts to determine whether relevant requirements are addressed and managed
1.2	Define Information security roles and responsibilities for the entire workforce including third party stakeholders to securely protect organisation's information assets. Examples of roles and responsibilities: SIRO – accountable for protecting Police force's data and owner of information security risks IAO – responsible for management of information assets ISO – responsible for information assurance of Police force's information DPO – responsible for ensuring compliance with data protection laws	ISO 27002:2013 6.1.1 ISO 27002:2013 7.2.1 ISO 27002:2022 5.2 ISO 27002:2022 5.4 ISO 27002:2022 5.9 NIST CSF ID.GV-2 NIST CSF ID.AM-6 NIST CSF PR.AT-1 NIST CSF PR.AT-2 NIST CSF PR.AT-3 NIST CSF PR.AT-4 NIST CSF PR.AT-5 Security Governance Standard	Review organisation chart, roles and responsibilities documents, user attributes, contracts with suppliers Interview staffs, practitioners, third party stakeholders and senior managers
1.3	Establish and maintain segregation of duties and principle of least privilege to reduce the risk of	ISO 27002:2013 6.1.2 ISO 27002:2013 9.1.2	Review and audit roles and

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	fraud, error and bypassing of information security controls that arises from conflicting duties and areas of responsibility. Lack of segregation of duties can present opportunities for unauthorised modification or misuse of the organisation's information assets and other assets. The following are examples of activities that require segregation: Initiating, approving, and executing a change Requesting, approving, and implementing access rights Designing, implementing, and reviewing code Using and administering applications Using applications and administering databases Designing, auditing, and assuring information security controls	ISO 27002:2013 9.2.3 ISO 27002:2013 9.4.1 ISO 27002:2013 9.4.4 ISO 27002:2013 9.4.5 ISO 27002:2022 5.3 ISO 27002:2022 5.15 ISO 27002:2022 8.2 ISO 27002:2022 8.3 ISO 27002:2022 8.18 ISO 27002:2022 8.4 NIST CSF PR.AC-4	responsibilities, user access privileges, user attributes Interview staff, practitioners, third party stakeholders and senior managers
2	Collecting and Handling information		
2.1	 Collection of information in policing should have regard for these key principles from the College of Policing Information Management Authorised Professional Practice (APP): A record must have been created for a policing purpose or corporate information including other organisational information, such as human resources (HR) or finance records, minutes of meetings, policies and procedures. All records must comply with the data quality principles. Such as People, Objectives, Locations and Events (POLE) standards and Data Protection requirements. A record of police information is the start of an audit trail and must identify who completed the record, when it was completed and for what purpose.	College of Policing Information Management Authorised Professional Practice (APP) DPA 18	Review information management policy and collection processes Records to evidence requirements being made Interview staff, senior managers

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document







Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	 Before recording information, checks should be made in other business areas to see whether the information is already held, thereby avoiding unnecessary duplication. If information is recorded on an individual who is the subject of an existing record, the record should reflect this. If it becomes apparent that the information being recorded is connected to other information, it must be appropriately linked. Police information must be recorded as soon as is practicable, in accordance with the standards relating to the business area in which the information is held. Apply the appropriate government security classification. Treat unmarked information as OFFICIAL. Liaise with authors if in doubt as to the classification or handling instructions. Where appropriate, the source of the information should be recorded to ensure accuracy and to assist in requesting further information. 		
2.2	Establish an information classification policy based on the Government Security Classification Policy (GSCP) which applies to all forms of information including digital, physical, and unrepresented. See NCSP Police Security Classification Guideline Classification provides people who deal with information with a concise indication of how to handle and protect it. GSCP classifications: OFFICIAL/OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE SECRET TOP SECRET	CIS 3.8 ISF IM1.2 NIST CSF ID.AM-5 NIST CSF ID.GV-1 ISO 27002:2013 8.2.1 ISO 27002:2022 5.12 Government Security Classification Policy	Review information classification policy and audit of documents to determine effective classification activities

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document







Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	 GSCP classification is based on: Sensitivity of the information and its importance to National security or if the public interest would be severely damaged if the information were to be disclosed The harm that could be caused by the mismanagement of information The need to restrict access to those with a legitimate requirement for information based on their role and responsibilities 		
2.3	Establish an information labelling procedure in accordance with classification policy to indicate the level of sensitivity of information and the required level of protection. Examples of labelling: Physical labels Headers Metadata Watermarks	ISO 27002:2022 5.13 ISO 27002:2013 8.2.2 NIST CSF ID.AM-5 NIST CSF ID.GV-1 NIST CSF PR.DS-5	Review labelling policy and processes, and audit of documents to determine effective labelling activities
2.4	Establish and maintain an inventory of information assets (Information Asset Register - IAR) to identify and manage organisation's information assets and risks to them throughout its lifecycle. An information asset should be recorded in the IAR if it would cause severe organisational consequences if it was unavailable or corrupted. A role shall be assigned to be responsible as Information Asset Owner (IAO) who will be responsible for the proper management of information assets for their area of operations / business.	CIS 3.2 ISO 27002:2013 8.1.1 ISO 27002:2013 8.1.2 ISO 27002:2022 5.9 NIST CSF ID.AM-1 NIST CSF ID.AM-2	Review and audit information asset inventories Interview IAOs and practitioners

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	The IAR supports identifying and protecting information assets, risk management, compliance, incident response, upgrade, and disposal. The IAR shall be maintained and updated to ensure that it is current and accurate for it to be effective.		
	 Employ following attributes but not limited to: Owner Location Access control requirements Impact of loss of availability, confidentiality, and integrity Regulatory requirements Sensitivity Security Classification Whether Personally Identifiable Information (PII) Risk appetite Asset end of life / disposal / decommissioning 		
2.5	Establish and maintain an inventory of data flows aligned to the Information Asset Register within organisation's systems and networks. Data flow diagrams can support security in several ways: Identify when information is at rest and in transit Identify when information is shared externally Identify which users and systems have access to which data Ensure that the Information Asset Register reflects assets and data flows Identify critical information processes Enable the notification of affected users, systems, and vendors in the event of a security breach or incident	CIS 3.8 ISO 27002:2013 13.2.1 ISO 27002:2022 5.14 NIST CSF ID.AM-3 NIST CSF DE.AE-1	Examine and maintain data flow diagrams Information Asset Register Risk register

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	 Establish baseline and thresholds to detect anomalies or malicious actions Identify risks associated with critical data flows 		
2.6	Identify and meet the requirements regarding the preservation of privacy and protection of Personally Identifiable Information (PII) data according to applicable laws and regulations and contractual requirements. Examples of relevant best practices: Apply appropriate technical and organisational measures such as encryption, access control, data masking Notify data breach to regulators, authorities, and data subjects appropriately and in a timely manner Secure handling of PII	ISO 27002:2022 5.34 ISO 27002:2013 18.1.4 NIST CSF DE.DP-2 NIST CSF ID.GV-3 Data Protection Act 2018	Review information asset inventory, contracts with third parties, data protection practices, DPIA process IT health check reports & remedial action plans.
2.7	Implement appropriate procedures and controls to protect intellectual property rights to ensure compliance with legal, statutory, regulatory, and contractual rights. Intellectual property rights include software or document copyright, design rights, trademarks, patents, and source code licenses. Examples of relevant best practices: Define compliant use of software and information products Acquiring software only through known and reputable sources to ensure that copyright is not infringed upon Maintaining appropriate asset registers and identifying all assets with requirements to protected intellectual property rights	ISO 27002:2022 5.32 ISO 27002:2022 5.9 ISO 27002:2022 5.10 ISO 27002:2013 8.1.1 ISO 27002:2013 8.1.2 ISO 27002:2013 8.1.3 ISO 27002:2013 18.1.2 NIST CSF ID.AM-1 NIST CSF ID.AM-2 NIST CSF ID.GV-3	Review Intellectual property rights practices and asset registers

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
2.8	Establish policies and rules for acceptable use and handling of information to ensure information is appropriately protected, used, and handled. Examples of rules for acceptable use of information: Expected and unacceptable behaviours of individuals from an information security perspective Permitted and prohibited use of information Access restrictions supporting protection requirements for each level of classification	ISO 27002:2022 5.10 ISO 27002:2013 8.1.3 NIST CSF ID.GV-1 NIST CSF ID.GV-2 NIST CSF ID.GV-3	Review of Acceptable Use Policies of information Interview staff, practitioners, third party stakeholders and senior managers
2.9	Employ information handling to ensure protection of information throughout all stages of the information lifecycle - Create, Store, Use, Share, Archive, Destroy. Minimum measures to consider when handling and securing information: Personnel security e.g. vetting clearance level applicable Physical security e.g. security furniture Technical security e.g. encryption See also: Handling Policing Data Guideline – Government Security Classifications Policy (GSCP)	ISO 27002:2013 8.2.3 ISO 27002:2022 5.10 NIST CSF ID.GV-2 NIST CSF ID.GV-3	Review secure data handling processes, supplier contracts Audit of personnel security, vetting levels
2.10	All Individuals including external parties handling policing information should be appropriately vetted in accordance with their lawful need for access. See also: People management standard College of Policing APP for Vetting	ISO 27002:2022 6.1 ISO 27002:2013 7.1.1 NIST CSF PR.IP-11	Review vetting policy, supplier contracts Audit of personnel security, vetting levels, and register

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
2.11	Ensure access to information is authorised based	ISF IM1.6	Review access
	on lawful need to know and principle of least	ISO 27002:2022 5.15	management policy
	privilege, and access is continually managed.	ISO 27002:2022 5.16	and procedures
		ISO 27002:2022 5.18	
	Implement and maintain secure access controls to	ISO 27002:2022 8.2	Audit IAM tools,
	prevent unauthorised access to information and	ISO 27002:2013 9.1.1	PAM tools, user
	information systems.	ISO 27002:2013 9.1.2	permissions,
		ISO 27002:2013 9.2.1	password policies
	Examples of relevant best practices:	ISO 27002:2013 9.2.2	
	Role Based Access control (RBAC)	ISO 27002:2013 9.2.3	
	 Joiners, Movers, Leavers (JML) Policy 	ISO 27002:2013 9.2.5	
	Access Control list (ACL)	NIST CSF PR.AC-4	
	Privilege Access Management (PAM)	NIST CSF PR.PT-3	
	Multi-Factor authentication (MFA)		
2.12	All users including privileged users handling and	ISO 27002:2022 6.3	
	administering policing data are informed, trained,	ISO 27002:2013 7.2.2	Reviews training
	and understand their roles and responsibilities.	NIST CSF PR.AT-1	and awareness
		NIST CSF PR.AT-2	policy, incident
	Some benefits of training and awareness:	NIST CSF PR.AT-3	response policy,
	Prevent accidental data breaches	NIST CSF PR.AT-4	data handling
	Protection against cyber threats e.g. phishing	NIST CSF PR.AT-5	procedures, AUP
	emails, ransomware		
	Build a security culture		Audit training and
	Effective incident response		awareness
	, i		activities,
			campaigns
	See also:		
	People management standard		Interview staffs,
	Identity & Access management standard		practitioners, third
	System Access standard		party stakeholders
	Privileged Access management standard		and senior
			managers
2	Information storage		
3	Information storage	CIS 3.11	Poviou data at rast
3.1	Ensure information in all forms is securely stored at rest to protect against unauthorised disclosure,	ISO 27002:2013 6.2.1	Review data at rest
	tampering and loss.	ISO 27002:2013 6.2.1	policy
	tampening and ioss.	11.2.9	
		11.2.3	

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document

CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL- FOR PUBLIC RELEASE





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	Implement physical and logical access controls so	ISO 27002:2013 9.2.3	Examine physical
	that only authorised users can access and modify	ISO 27002:2013 8.3.3	access controls,
	information.	ISO 27002:2022 7.7	secure cabinets
		ISO 27002:2022 7.9	
	See Physical Security management standard	ISO 27002:2022 7.10	Audit physical
		ISO 27002:2022 8.1	security controls,
	Examples of relevant best practices:	ISO 27002:2022 8.2	PASF / TPAP
	 Appropriately encrypt data at rest e.g., disc 	ISO 27002:2022 8.24	
	encryption, file encryption, server/client-side	NIST CSF PR.DS-1	
	encryption	NIST CSF PR.PT-2	
	 Locking sensitive documents in suitable security cabinets 	NIST CSF PR.PT-3	
	 Restrict and review access privileges to sensitive information 		
	 Information systems are appropriately assured 		
	 Use of assured end point devices 		
	Secure areas		
	Secure furniture		
	Secure furniture		
3.2	Information should be backed up regularly to	ISO 27002:2022 8.13	Business continuity
	ensure data can be recovered in case of any	ISO 27002:2022 8.24	plans include critical
	disaster, cyber-attack, or system crash.	ISO 27002:2013	information assets
		12.3.1	and systems
	Backups should support Business Continuity Plans	NIST CSF PR.DS-4	
	and Disaster Recovery Plans.	NIST CSF PR.IP-4	Review information
			back-up policy
	See Business Continuity management standard		
			Audit back-ups and
	Backups should be tested after implementation		back-up test
	and on a defined basis to ensure information is		activities/reports
	recoverable.		
	Ensure that data backup responsibilities are		
	understood when using Cloud Services.		
	Examples of back-up best practices:		
	Off-site storage		
	Encrypt back-ups		
	 Regular and frequent back-ups 		

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	Automated back-ups		
	Test back-ups		
	Multiple back-ups – "3-2-1 rule"		
4	Using and processing information		
4.1	Systems and services that process policing data should undergo appropriate information assurance and governance processes to ensure adequate protection of Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and Privacy of Information. Ensure that services provided by third parties meet National policing cyber standards. Ensure adequate controls are in place before sharing policing information or data with Artificial Intelligence & large language models (LLM)	ISO 27002:2013 6.1.5 ISO 27002:2013 14.1.1 ISO 27002:2013 14.2.1 ISO 27002:2013 14.2.5 ISO 27002:2022 5.8 ISO 27002:2022 8.25 ISO 27002:2022 8.27 NIST CSF PR.IP-2	Review local supporting standards and supporting procedures. Audit information assurance processes and system assurance documents
	Intelligence & large language models (LLM) services. See also Artificial Intelligence & LLM standard Examples of relevant processes: Security by design (SbD) Security governance Data Protection Impact Assessments (DPIA) Business impact Assessment Threat profiling Supplier assurance PASF / TPAP Risk assessment IT health checks / penetration testing		Examine system ITHC reports, Remediation Action plans, Risk assessments,
4.2	Third parties managing and processing policing information should undergo Third-Party Assurance Process (TPAP) and meet the organisation's security requirements.	ISO 27002:2013 6.1.1 ISO 27002:2013 7.2.2 ISO 27002:2013 15.1.1 ISO 27002:2013 15.1.2	Review organisation's internal TPAP process, supplier contracts, SAL, PASF / TPAP report,

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
NCI.	Ensure robust contracts are in place with third party stakeholders to protect policing information appropriately. See Third Party Assurance for Policing standard Examples of relevant practices: Police Digital Service (PDS) TPAP Supplier Assurance Security Aspects Letter (SAL) / Security Standards Agreement	ISO 27002:2013 15.2.1 ISO 27002:2013 15.2.2 ISO 27002:2022 5.2 ISO 27002:2022 5.19 ISO 27002:2022 5.20 ISO 27002:2022 5.21 ISO 27002:2022 5.22 ISO 27002:2022 5.23 ISO 27002:2022 6.3 ISO 27002:2022 8.30 NIST CSF ID.AM-6 NIST CSF PR.AT-3	Service Level Agreements set with suppliers Audit third party providers' controls and processes determining organisational requirements are met continually
4.3	Employ protections against data leakage and loss with Data Loss Prevention (DLP) /Data Leakage Prevention. DLP monitor endpoint devices, systems, and networks to ensure sensitive data to prevent sensitive information from being disclosed to unauthorised individuals or systems.	CIS 3.13 ISO 27002:2022 8.12 NIST CSF PR.DS-5	Review DLP process Audit DLP tools Testing of DLP controls
4.4	Employ data masking techniques where applicable to limit the exposure of sensitive data including PII to comply with legal, statutory, regulatory, and contractual requirements. Examples of data masking techniques: Obfuscation Anonymisation Pseudo-anonymisation Dynamic data masking	ISO 27002:2022 8.11 ISO 27002:2013 NIST CSF PR.DS-5	Review data masking policies and processes Interview practitioners, senior managers, DPO
4.5	Employ clear desk and clear screen policy to reduce the risk of unauthorised access, loss of and damage to information on desks, screens and in	ISO 27002:2013 11.2.9 ISO 27002:2013 11.1.4	Review clean desk and clear screen policy

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	 other accessible locations during and outside normal working hours. Examples of relevant best practices: Locking away sensitive or critical business information Protecting user endpoint devices by key locks Clearing sensitive or critical information on whiteboards and other types of displays when no longer required Turning off pop-ups on screens during presentations or screen sharing 	ISO 27002:2013 11.2.1 ISO 27002:2022 7.7 ISO 27002:2022 7.5 ISO 27002:2022 7.8 NIST CSF PR.IP-5	Audit physical sites and facilities
5	Information sharing		
5.1	Establish information transfer policy, information sharing agreements and security agreements with all individuals or third parties who access critical or sensitive information and systems. Information should only be shared with authorised parties based on lawful need to know principle and appropriate business case. Ensure that only the minimum necessary information shall be shared. Ensure security obligations are clearly communicated to all employees or external individuals and formally accepted, providing legal and contractual protection. Examples of relevant best practices: Terms and conditions of employment	ISO 27002:2022 5.14 ISO 27002:2022 5.20 ISO 27002:2022 6.6 ISO 27002:2013 13.2.2 ISO 27002:2013 13.2.1 ISO 27002:2013 15.1.2 ISO 27002:2013 13.2.4 NIST CSF PR.AC-3 NIST CSF PR.PT-4	Review information transfer policy and process, non-disclosure agreement, third party contracts, SAL, employment terms and conditions Interview staff, practitioners, and senior managers
	 Non-disclosure agreements (NDA) Robust contractual obligations Information sharing agreements 		

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
Ref. 5.2	 Minimum requirement Ensure information is appropriately protected in transit from unauthorised disclosure. Examples of relevant best practices: Appropriately encrypt data in transit e.g. TLS 1.2 Use secure remote access e.g. VPN Use secure removable media e.g. FIPS 140-2 compliant Use secure protocols to transfer data e.g. SFTP Secure email channels e.g. mutual TLS See also: Cryptography standard 	Control reference CIS 3.10 ISF IM1.4 ISO 27002:2022 5.14 ISO 27002:2022 8.24 ISO 27002:2013 13.2.1 ISO 27002:2013 13.2.2 ISO 27002:2013 13.2.3 NIST CSF PR.AC-3 NIST CSF PR.DS-2 NIST CSF PR.DS-5 NIST CSF PR.PT-4	Review data in transit policy, secure remote access policy, cryptography policy Testing and ITHC of cryptography controls
5.3	Physical storage media transfer including paper should be secure to protect the data from unauthorised access, tampering and loss. Examples of relevant best practices: Use approved courier Secure storage media Use tamper evident bags, containers Ensure correct addressing and transportation of the message See also: Physical asset management standard	ISO 27002:2022 5.14 ISO 27002:2022 5.10 ISO 27002:2022 7.10 ISO 27002:2013 13.2.1 ISO 27002:2013 13.2.2 ISO 27002:2013 8.2.3 ISO 27002:2013 8.3.1 ISO 27002:2013 8.3.3 NIST CSF PR.AC-2 NIST CSF PR.DS-3 NIST CSF PR.PT-2	Review storage media transfer policy Interview staff, practitioners, and senior managers Audit storage media transfer process, devices, logs
5.4	Ensure verbal transfer of information is protected to prevent unauthorised disclosure. Consider also e-conferencing. Examples of relevant best practices: Need to know and least privilege principles. Conduct conversations in settings appropriate to their sensitivity. Ensure appropriate room controls are implemented e.g., soundproofing, closed doors	ISO 27002:2022 5.14 ISO 27002:2013 13.2.1 ISO 27002:2013 8.3.3	Review local information handling policies, employment terms and conditions Interview staff and senior managers

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	 Only leave non-sensitive messages on voicemail systems. Be screened to the appropriate level to listen to the conversation 		
6	Information Archive and Retention		
6.1	Information and records should be securely retained in accordance with organisational, legal, statutory, contractual, and regulatory requirements.	CIS 3.4 ISO 27002:2022 5.31 ISO 27002:2022 5.33 ISO 27002:2013 18.1.1	Review information retention policy Audit retained data and records
	Identify period of retention requirements and securely protect the data and records from data manipulation, unauthorised disclosure, loss, and corruption.	ISO 27002:2013 18.1.3 NIST CSF ID.GV-3	
7	Secure information deletion		
7.1	Securely sanitise information stored in information systems, devices, or storage media for re-use to prevent data breaches and unauthorised disclosure. Media sanitisation ensures residual data is unrecoverable and unreadable.	ISO 27002:2022 7.10 ISO 27002:2022 7.14 ISO 27002:2022 8.10 ISO 27002:2013 11.2.7 ISO 27002:2013 8.3.2	Review information sanitisation policy and practices Audit sanitisation practices and
	Media can be any device that stores data e.g., external hard drives, USB drives, memory cards, mobile devices, laptops, office equipment such as printers, photocopiers, cameras.	NIST CSF PR.DS-3 NIST CSF PR.IP-6	records
	 Examples of sanitising techniques: Data overwriting Magnetic degaussing Crypto shredding 		

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
7.2	Information stored in information systems,	CIS 3.5	Review secure data
	endpoints, storage media and cloud systems	ISO 27002:2022 8.10	deletion policy and
	should be securely deleted and disposed when no	ISO 27002:2022 7.10	practices
	longer needed to prevent unauthorised access,	ISO 27002:2022 7.14	
	data breaches and non-compliances with legal and	ISO 27002:2013	Audit secure data
	regulatory requirements.	11.2.7	deletion practices
		ISO 27002:2013 8.3.2	and records
	Verify deletion method provided by cloud service	NIST CSF PR.DS-3	
	providers and suppliers is acceptable and meets	NIST CSF PR.IP-6	
	organisation's secure deletion requirements.		
	Where third party secure disposal services are		
	employed, ensure they are approved, certified and		
	otherwise appropriate.		
	Examples of deletion techniques:		
	Physical destruction		
	Degaussing		
	Crypto shredding		
8	Test data		
8.1	Only test data should be used in a testing	ISO 27002:2022 8.33	Review testing
	environment when conducting tests on system	ISO 27002:2013	policies and
	and any exceptions needs to gain an authorisation	14.3.1	practices
	from IAO or system owner.	NIST CSF PR.DS-7	
	Live data should not be used in non-		
	live/production environments.		
	Several rationales of using test data:		
	Preserve integrity of real data		
	Comply with legal and compliance		
	requirements		
	Prevent data breach		
	Prevent loss of real data		
9	Logging and monitoring		_
	000 *		

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Ref.	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
9.1	Employ logging and monitoring of activities in information systems to detect anomalous activities, compromises, attempted bulk exports of data and prove non-repudiation.	ISO 27002:2022 8.15 ISO 27002:2022 8.16 ISO 27002:2013 12.4.1 ISO 27002:2013	Review Logging and monitoring policy and practices Audit logs and
	 Examples of relevant best practices: Security related events should be recorded in logs, stored centrally, protected against unauthorised change, and analysed on a regular basis. To help identify threats that may lead to an information security incident, maintain the integrity of important security related information, and support forensic investigations. See also: 	12.4.2 ISO 27002:2013 12.4.3 NIST CSF PR.PT-1 NIST CSF RS.AN-1	examine monitoring use cases
	Technical Security Management standard		

Communication approach

This document will be communicated as follows:

- Internal peer review by the members of the National Cyber Policy & Standards Working Group (NCPSWG),
 which includes PDS and representatives from participating forces.
- Presentation to the National Cyber Policy & Standards Board (NCPSB) for approval.
- Formal publication and external distribution to PDS community, police forces and associated bodies.

Measurables generated by adopting this standard can also form part of regular cyber management reporting.

For external use (outside PDS), this standard should be distributed with information security officers (ISOs) and Information Management teams to help complete an initial gap analysis which can inform any implementation plan. This implementation plan can be shared with force SIROs / Security Management

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document

CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL- FOR PUBLIC RELEASE





Forum. Consideration should also be given to raising awareness amongst force personnel of the implementation of this standard where it may affect them.

Measurables generated by adopting this standard can also form part of regular cyber management.

Review Cycle

This standard will be reviewed at least annually (from the date of publication) and following any major change to Information Assurance (IA) strategy, membership of the community, or an identified major change to the cyber threat landscape. This ensures IA requirements are reviewed, and that the standard continues to meet the objectives and strategies of the police service.

Document Compliance Requirements

(Adapt according to Force or PDS Policy needs.)

Equality Impact Assessment

(Adapt according to Force or PDS Policy needs.)

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document

CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL- FOR PUBLIC RELEASE





Document Information

Document Location

https://knowledgehub.group/web/national-standards/policing-standards

Revision History

Version	Author	Description Date
0.1	PDS Cyber	Updated Requirements, Abstract 05/05/23
0.2	PDS Cyber	Updated Purpose and Scope, Terms and 21/09/23 Abbreviations
1.1	PDS Cyber	Transfer to new template, reviewed 23/09/24 and amended various small sections

Approvals

Version	Name		Role	Date
1.0	National Cyber Standards Board	Policy &	National authority for Cyber standards	30/11/23
1.1	National Cyber Standards Board	Policy &	National authority for Cyber Standards	26/11/24

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document

CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL- FOR PUBLIC RELEASE





Document References

Document Name	Version	Date
ISF - Standard of Good Practice (for Information Security)	v2024	03/2024
ISO 27002:2022 - Information security, Cybersecurity and privacy protection – Information security controls	v2022	02/2022
CIS Controls	v8	05/2021
NIST Cyber Security Framework	v1.1	04/2018
CSA Cloud Controls Matrix	v4	01/2021
10 Steps to Cyber Security - NCSC.GOV.UK	Web Page	05/2021
College of Policing Information Management Authorised Professional Practise	See College of Policing Website	09/2023

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





Appendix A - Terms and Abbreviations

7	Terms	Name
	ACL .	Access Control List
BIA		Business Impact Assessment
	CIS	Centre for Internet Security
(CSF	Cloud Security Forum
(CSP	Community Security Policy
	OLP	Data Leakage Prevention
	OPIA	Data Protection Impact Assessment
	OPO	Data Protection Officer
(GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
	GSCP	Government Security Classification Policy
I	AO	Information Asset Owner
I	СО	Information Commissioner's Office
I	SF	Information Security Forum
I	SO	International Organisation for Standardisation
J	ML	Joiners Movers Leavers
L	_LM	Large Language Model
ľ	MV	Management Vetting
	MFA	Multi Factor Authentication
	NCPSB	National Cyber Policy and Standards Board
	NCSC	National Cyber Security Centre
	NDA	Non-Disclosure Agreement
	NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
	NMC	National Management Centre
	NPCC	National Police Chiefs' Council
F	PAM	Privileged Access Management
F	PDS	Police Digital Service
F	PIAB	Police Information Assurance Board
F	ગા	Personally Identifiable Information
F	RBAC	Role Based Access Control
F	RV	Recruitment Vetting
9	SAL	Security Aspects Letter
9	SbD	Secure by Design
5	SFTP	Secure File Transfer Protocol

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document





SIRO	Senior Information Responsible Owner
SoGP	Standard of Good Practice
SWG	Security Working Group
SyAP	Security Assessment for Policing
TLS	Transport Layer Security
TPAP	Third Party Assurance Process
UK DPA 18	UK Data Protection Act 18
VPN	Virtual Private Network

VERSION: 1.1 **DATE**: 23/09/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-IM

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Service **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 26-Page Document