



CYBER STANDARDS DOCUMENT

NCSP Electronic Communications standard

ABSTRACT:

This standard supports the policy set out in the National Community Security Policy, providing requirements for those designing, building and running electronic communications services within national policing systems. This standard details a minimum set of security requirements and controls that must be met to ensure security of electronic communications services.

Consideration is given to the following areas of configuration, email systems, collaboration platforms and voice communications platforms.

ISSUED	March 2024
PLANNED REVIEW DATE	February 2025
DISTRIBUTION	Community Security Policy Framework Members

POLICY VALIDITY STATEMENT

This standard is due for review on the date shown above. After this date, this document may become invalid.

Cyber Standard users should ensure that they are consulting the currently valid version of the documentation.





CONTENTS

Comr	nunity Security Policy Commitment	3
Intro	duction	3
Owne	er	3
Purpo	ose	3
Audie	ence	4
Scope	9	4
Requ	irements	5
1.	Email	6
2.	Collaboration Platforms and import / export to external systems1	2
3.	Voice Communication Services1	7
4.	Other Electronic Communications Services2	1
Comr	nunication approach2	5
Revie	ew Cycle2	5
Docu	ment Compliance Requirements2	5
Equa	lity Impact Assessment2	5
Docu	ment Information2	6
Do	cument Location2	6
Re	vision History2	6
Ар	provals2	6
Do	cument References2	6

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Community Security Policy Commitment

National Policing and its community members recognise that threats to policing information assets present significant risk to policing operations. National Policing and its community members are committed to managing information security and risk and maintaining an appropriate response to current and emerging threats, as an enabling mechanism for policing to achieve its operational objectives whilst preserving life, property, and civil liberties.

This standard in conjunction with the National Policing Community Security Policy Framework and associated documents sets out National Policing requirements networks and communications security.

Introduction

This Electronic Communication Standards document provides the list of controls that are required for business applications, information systems, networks and computing devices. This list of requirements ensures a baseline level of security that is to afford the necessary level of protection to its systems and data. Furthermore, the security controls presented in this standard are taken from examples of international best practice for information security and is intended to be used for National and local policing systems.

Owner

National Chief Information Security Officer (NCISO).

<u>Purpose</u>

This standard supports the policy set out in the National Community Security Policy, providing requirements for those designing, building and running IT services and managing vulnerabilities within National Policing Systems. This standard sets out the requirement to identify and address technical vulnerabilities in a timely and effective manner to reduce exposure to the risk of them being exploited, thereby reducing the risk of serious security breaches.

This standard helps organisations demonstrate compliance with the following NPCSP policy statements:

Electronic Communications

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document

CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL





• Protect electronic communication systems (e.g. email, collaboration platforms and voice communication platforms) by setting policy for their use; configuring security settings; and hardening the supporting technical infrastructure.

Secondly, this standard provides a means to conduct compliance based technical security audits.

<u>Audience</u>

This standard is aimed at:

- Staff across PDS and policing who build, implement, and maintain ICT systems and networks, either on behalf of national policing or at a local force level.
- Information & Cyber risk practitioners and managers.
- The user community, including those who have escalated privileges to provide administrative functions.
- Suppliers acting as service providers or developing products or services for PDS or policing.
- Auditors and penetration testers providing assurance services to PDS or policing.

Additionally, roles involved in information risk governance such as Senior Information Risk Owners (SIROs) and Information Asset Owners (IAOs) should have awareness of this standard.

<u>Scope</u>

- 1. This standard is to cover systems handling data within the OFFICIAL tier including OFFICAL-SENSITIVE special handling caveat of the Government Security Classification Policy (GSCP). National policing IT systems, applications, or service implementations falling within this category will be subject to the requirements specified within this security standard. The requirements will be applied to new and existing installations.
- 2. The security control requirements laid out in this standard are vendor agnostic and applicable for electronic communications systems that are provisioned for the policing community of trust use.
- 3. This standard is applicable for all electronic communications systems used within the police community of trust including both physical and virtual environments.

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document

CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL





Requirements

The following sections details the minimum requirements for ensuring the secure and efficient management and operation of electronic communications systems for National Policing IT Systems.

Consideration is given to the following areas: -

- Email communications
- Collaboration platforms
- Voice communication platforms
- Other electronic communications

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document

CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL





1. Email 1.1 There must be documented SOGP – Evidence of the SbD
1.1 There must be documented SOGP – Evidence of the SbD
standards/procedures for the provision and use of email, which should include: • methods of configuring mail servers (e.g. to limit the size of messages or user mailboxes) • scanning email messages (e.g. for malware, phishing, chain letters or offensive content) • enhancing the security of email messages (e.g. by the use of disclaimers, hashing, encryption and non-repudiation techniques) • guidelines for business and personal use (e.g. prohibition of personal use) • the types of email service permitted (e.g. corporate services such as Microsoft Exchange, Google Gmail or IBM Notes) • user guidelines for acceptable use (e.g. prohibition of the use of offensive statements) • details of any monitoring activities to be performed (e.g. scanning the content of

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	 messages and attachments) to detect malicious activity and accidental leakage of information. Historical logging and storage of email messages for auditing and investigation purposes. Retention of logs and email must be in line with Police Information And Records Management: Code of Practice 		
1.2	 Email servers (or gateways) must be configured to: prevent the messaging system from being overloaded (e.g. by limiting the size of messages and user mail.boxes, and automatically identifying and cancelling email loops) reduce the accidental disclosure of email and attachments to unauthorised individuals by enforcing encryption between email servers (e.g. using Transport Layer Security (TLS) or equivalent). Use MTA-STS to enforce this, and use TLS-RPT to monitor it. 	SOGP – NC2.1 UA1.1	Evidence of tested configuration document sets. Evidence of SbD (Secure-by-design) process starting at project initiation and incorporating design blueprints and architectural principles, from defined security architecture. Based on Threat and risk assessments. Evidence that identified risks have been mitigated with technical and

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	 block unnecessary file types such as executables (e.gexe, .js or .vbs) deploy malware protection techniques (e.g. attachment scanning and/or sandboxing). ALL services permitted to send emails from official domain names must be assessed and managed, and must use DMARC with DKIM signatures and SPF. For all domains used by the organisation DMARC reporting must be enabled and reports must be monitored for legitimate service misconfiguration and malicious email spoofing. 	reference	procedural security controls. Reporting from NCSC MailCheck tools demonstrating secure configuration.
1.3	Email client applications must be configured to prevent the accidental disclosure of email and attachments to unauthorised individuals by: • preventing users from configuring the auto-forward feature and using auto-complete in email address fields • restricting the use of large distribution lists (e.g. a list containing every individual in the organisation)	SOGP – NC2.1 UA1.1	Evidence of tested configuration document sets. Evidence of SbD (Secure-by-design) process starting at project initiation and incorporating design blueprints and architectural principles, from defined security architecture. Based on Threat and risk assessments.

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	 presenting users with a warning before they are able to use the reply all feature to a large number of recipients. 		Evidence that identified risks have been mitigated with technical and procedural security controls.
1.4	Email systems must be reviewed to ensure that requirements for up-time and future availability can be met.	SOGP – NC2.1 UA1.1	Evidence of documented requirements for the solution, during the SbD process. Configuration documentation HLD (business-level) and a traceability matrix that maps the requirements to the design.
1.5	 Email messages must be scanned for: attachments that could contain malicious code (e.g. malicious code hidden in self-extracting zip files, Adobe PDF documents or embedded macros) prohibited words or phrases (e.g. those that are racist, offensive, libellous or obscene) phrases associated with malware (e.g. those commonly used in phishing, hoax viruses or chain letters). 	SOGP – NC2.1 UA1.1	Evidence that identified risks have been mitigated with technical and procedural security controls where applicable. Evidence of SbD process used to assess the threat and risk. Evidence that scanning logs are routinely checked and remediation

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
			taken when threats are detected.
1.6	 Email systems must protect messages by: blocking messages that are considered undesirable (e.g. by using an email denylist consisting of known undesirable websites or mailing list servers) using digital signatures to identify if email messages have been modified in transit and encrypting email messages ensuring non-repudiation of origin of important email messages (e.g. by using digital signatures) providing non-repudiation of receipt of important messages (e.g. by returning a digitally signed receipt message) verifying the source IP address of senders' emails (e.g. using an email validation system such as Sender Policy Framework (SPF), Domain-based Message Authentication, Reporting and Conformance (DMARC), DomainKeys Identified Mail (DKIM) or Sender ID that checks the Domain Name System (DNS)) to limit spoofing. 	SOGP – NC2.1 UA1.1	Evidence of a SbD (Secure-by-design) process starting at project initiation and incorporating design blueprints and architectural principles, from defined security architecture. Evidence of threat modelling and business impact assessments. Evidence that email systems have been functionally and penetration tested as part of regular IT Health checks.

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance Metric
		reference	
	 analysing the reputation score of the sender's Mail Transfer Agent (MTA). 		
1.7	 The business integrity of email messages must be protected by: appending legally required information and return address details (for misdelivered email) to business email (e.g. as a disclaimer) warning users that the contents of email messages may be legally and contractually binding and that the use of email may be monitored. 	SOGP – NC2.1 UA1.1	Evidence of legal notices and warnings approved by HR, Security and Legal teams in line with data protection policies.
1.8	 The organisation must prohibit: automatic email diversion to external to Police.uk email addresses. (If this is absolutely necessary then it must be subject to governance with an exception process in place and reviewed at least every six months to prevent permanent diverts. Automatic replies is always a better option) unauthorised private encryption of email or attachments. the opening of attachments from unknown or untrusted sources. 	SOGP – NC2.1 UA1.1	Evidence of SbD (Secure-by-design) process starting at project initiation and incorporating design blueprints and architectural principles, from defined security architecture. Based on Threat and risk assessments. Evidence that identified risks have been mitigated with technical and

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance Metric
	·	reference	·
			procedural security controls.
1.9	Personal use of business email must be clearly labelled as personal and subject to the terms of a user agreement.	SOGP – NC2.1 UA1.1	Evidence of an acceptable use policy for email. Approved by HR, Security and Legal teams, in line with data protection policies.
1.10	Users must be educated in how to protect the confidentiality and integrity of email messages (e.g. checking for external recipients, checking full email history for confidential information when forwarding, Care when replying to all in an email with multiple to or cc lists, checking attachments are correct before sending etc.).	SOGP – NC2.1 UA1.1	Evidence of eLearning or training materials delivered to users.
2. Collaboration Pla	tforms and import / export to extern	al systems	
2.1	The use of collaboration platforms must be signed off by an appropriate business manager usually the Information Asset Owner (IAO.)	SOGP – UA1.2 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of a formal change control process including business representatives (IAOs.)
	 The use of collaboration platforms or import / export of data must be supported by lawful business needs. 		Documented business needs DPIAs conducted and
	Data privacy impact assessments (DPIA) must be undertaken where Personally Identifiable		signed off by appropriate authorities.

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	Information (PII) is affected.		
2.2	There must be documented standards/procedures for collaboration platforms, which include: configuring their security settings providing assurance over the content handled by collaboration platforms improving the security of technical infrastructure supporting collaboration platforms (e.g. managed internally or provided as part of a managed service) protecting conferencing services (e.g. teleconferencing, videoconferencing and online web-based conferencing) against unauthorised access. Logging of collaboration activity for auditing and investigation purposes. Retention of logs must be in line with the Police Information and Records Management: Code of Practice.	SOGP – UA1.2 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of SbD (Secure-by-design) process starting at project initiation and incorporating design blueprints and architectural principles, from defined security architecture. Based on Threat and risk assessments. Evidence that identified risks have been mitigated with technical and procedural security controls.

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Deference	8.4::	Carlad	Compliant Parts
Reference	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance Metric
2.2	The security of college (reference	Evidence of ChD
2.3	The security of collaboration	SOGP –	Evidence of SbD
	platforms must be improved by:	UA1.2	(Secure-by-design)
	a colo population the conviction		process starting at
	only permitting the acquisition	NIST CSF	project initiation and
	and use of approved platforms	- PR.AC.7	incorporating design
	 assessing the information risks of 		blueprints and
			architectural
	each platform being acquired or		principles, from
	in use		defined security
	• adding undating and deleting		architecture.
	 adding, updating and deleting user profiles (e.g. following 		
	recruitment of new staff or		Evidence of threat
			modelling and
	changes to their job role)		business impact
	 making users aware of how to use 		assessments.
	these platforms securely (e.g.		
	through an acceptable use policy		Evidence that
	for employees or a code of		identified risks have
	conduct for external users).		been mitigated with
	conduct for external disersy.		technical and
	Application of the NCSC Pattern:		procedural security
	Safely Importing Data		controls.
	Sarety importing bata		
2.4	Collaboration platforms must be	SOGP –	Evidence of SbD
	configured to operate securely	UA1.2	(Secure-by-design)
	by:	0	process starting at
			project initiation and
	requiring authentication before		incorporating design
	users are granted access to	NIST CSF	blueprints and
	platforms	- PR.AC.7	architectural
	,		principles, from
	 disabling unauthorised features 		defined security
	(e.g. message transcripts,		architecture. Based
	externally facing APIs or user self-		on Threat and risk
	registration)		
	,		assessments.

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	 protecting the integrity of messages (e.g. by the use of digital signatures) logging specified security-related events (e.g. to check for unauthorised activity, investigate potential breaches and maintain records for regulatory purposes). 		Evidence that identified risks have been mitigated with technical and procedural security controls.
2.5	Assurance must be provided over the integrity and confidentiality of content handled by collaboration platforms by: • using content management techniques (e.g. information classification, data validation, timestamping and content filtering) • appointing one or more content managers to maintain and monitor content • scanning content, including attachments, to detect malicious activity or accidental leakage of information.	SOGP – UA1.2 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of SbD (Secure-by-design) process starting at project initiation and incorporating design blueprints and architectural principles, from defined security architecture. Evidence of threat modelling and business impact assessments. Evidence that identified risks have been mitigated with technical and procedural security controls.
2.6	The security of collaboration platform infrastructure must be improved by:	SOGP – UA1.2 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of SbD (Secure-by-design) process starting at project initiation and incorporating design

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Deference	B. dissing the way in a month	Control	Compliance Matric
Reference	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	 employing a standard configuration for each platform hardening collaboration platform servers (e.g. by locking down the operating system and application) configuring firewalls to block the use of unauthorised collaboration platforms (e.g. by blocking known ports). 		blueprints and architectural principles, from defined security architecture. Evidence of threat modelling and business impact assessments. Evidence that identified risks have been mitigated with technical and procedural security controls.
2.7	Conferencing services (e.g. teleconferencing, videoconferencing and online web-based conferencing) must be protected against unauthorised access by: • requiring authentication before users are granted access to a conference • providing a unique password for each new conference session (i.e. not repeating the same password for consecutive conference sessions) • maintaining a record of who joins and leaves a conference session	SOGP – UA1.2 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of SbD (Secure-by-design) process starting at project initiation and incorporating design blueprints and architectural principles, from defined security architecture. Evidence of threat modelling and business impact assessments. Evidence that identified risks have been mitigated with technical and

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document

CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	 ensuring hardware (e.g. screens and cameras), software (e.g. presentation, screen sharing and remote takeover applications) and network connections are disabled or closed once a conference session has ended. 		procedural security controls.
3. Voice Commun	ication Services		
3.1	Voice communication services must be reviewed and subject to sign-off by an appropriate business manager or network administrator.	SOGP – NC1.4 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of SbD (Secure-by-design) process starting at project initiation and incorporating design blueprints and architectural principles, from defined security architecture. Based on Threat and risk assessments. Evidence of a formal change control process including business representatives.
3.2	There must be documented standards/procedures for voice communication services and underlying technical infrastructure, which include: • use of the organisation's voice communication services	SOGP – NC1.4 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of SbD (Secure-by-design) process starting at project initiation and incorporating design blueprints and architectural principles, from

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance Metric
	 registration and authentication of users with access to voice communication services general network controls for voice communication services (e.g. deploying monitoring tools, providing resilience and redundancy, installing firewalls and preventing the use of unauthorised devices) technology-specific controls (e.g. separating voice traffic from general network traffic, hardening devices, identifying vulnerabilities, encrypting sensitive voice traffic, and monitoring voice-related event logs) protection of voicemail systems against unauthorised access (e.g. using password protection). 	reference	defined security architecture. Evidence of threat modelling and business impact assessments. Evidence that identified risks have been mitigated with technical and procedural security controls.
3.3	Network security controls for voice communication services must be applied, which include: • monitoring bandwidth using tools that are capable of recognising voice traffic • deploying network components to provide resilience and redundancy	SOGP – NC1.4 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of SbD (Secure-by-design) process starting at project initiation and incorporating design blueprints and architectural principles, from defined security architecture. Evidence of threat modelling and

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





- 1			
Reference	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance Metric
		reference	In attack to the second
	• installing firewalls that can filter		business impact
	voice traffic		assessments.
	a rectricting traffic cent ever voice		
	 restricting traffic sent over voice communication networks to 		
	authorised software and devices		Evidence that
	(e.g. IP phones, IP PBXs and		identified risks have
	virtual telephone applications).		been mitigated with
	vii tuai teleprione applications).		technical and
			procedural security
			controls.
3.4	Technology-specific controls	SOGP –	Evidence of SbD
	must be applied, which include:	NC1.4	(Secure-by-design)
			process starting at
	separating voice traffic using	NIST CSF	project initiation and
	virtual local area networks	- PR.AC.7	incorporating design
	(VLANs)		blueprints and
	a hardening vaice communication		architectural
	hardening voice communication devices (a.g. IR phones routers)		principles, from
	devices (e.g. IP phones, routers		defined security
	and IP PBXs)		architecture.
	scanning voice communication		Evidence of threat
	networks for vulnerabilities (e.g.		modelling and
	open network ports or non-		business impact
	secured administration consoles)		assessments.
			assessificities.
	encrypting voice network traffic		Evidence that
			identified risks have
	analysing voice-related event log		been mitigated with
	files.		technical and
			procedural security
			controls.
3.5	Access to voicemail must be	SOGP –	Evidence of an access
	restricted to authorised users by	NC1.4	control policy
	using a password/passphrase,		including details of
			the requirements for

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance Metric
	· ·	reference	·
	PIN or equivalent of sufficient complexity.	NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	voicemail, based on the outputs from the SbD (Secure-by- design) process, for technical and procedural risk mitigation.
3.6	The administrative functions and technical infrastructure associated with voice communication services must be protected by: • restricting administrative access to a limited number of authorised individuals • segregating administrative roles (e.g. creation of new lines, implementation of call forwarding and provision of voicemail access) • monitoring the activity of administrative accounts.	SOGP – NC1.4 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of SbD (Secure-by-design) process starting at project initiation and incorporating design blueprints and architectural principles, from defined security architecture. Evidence of threat modelling and business impact assessments. Evidence that identified risks have been mitigated with technical and procedural security controls.
3.7	Changes to the configuration of settings for voice communication services (e.g. extension number changes, voicemail password resets and call redirection) must be performed by a minimum	SOGP – NC1.4 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of a formal change control process including business representatives.

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
	number of authorised, competent individuals.		
3.8	A method of reviewing voice communication services must be established, which includes: • monitoring use of voice communication services to determine adequate capacity and operator workloads/staffing requirements • inspecting bills/invoices for voice communication services to identify unusual patterns (e.g. security breaches, suspicious behaviour or fraud). • Keeping logs of all voice communications for auditing and investigation purposes. • Retention of logs must be in line with the Police Information and Records Management: Code of Practice.	SOGP – NC1.4 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of voice communication lifecycle management that identifies the requirements to manage the monitoring and cost of the service, this can be integrated in to current processes, or new processes created if current processes are deemed not appropriate on review.

4. Other Electronic Communications Services

This section deals with general requirements for any other electronic communications services that might be used that don't fall into the categories of collaboration, email and voice communications.

4.1	Communications must be protected while at rest or in transit using encryption.	SOGP – IM1.2.5 and IM1.2.6	Evidence of threat modelling and business impact assessments.

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control	Compliance Metric
Kererence	Willing Tequilent	reference	Compnance Wetric
		NIST CSF	
		- PR.AC.7	
	See also:	1 11.710.7	Evidence that
	Cryptography standard		systems have
			undergone
			penetration tests as
			part of regular IT
			Health checks and
			remediation plans
			have been
			documented and
			implemented.
4.2	A log of communications must be	SOGP –	Evidence that less are
4.2	A log of communications must be stored to allow auditing and	SE1.1	Evidence that logs are being stored and are
	investigation.	JL1.1	accessible for
	investigation.	NIST CSF	investigation
	Logs and audit data must be retained	- PR.AC.7	purposes.
	in line with MOPI guidance.	111.716.7	purposes.
			Evidence that
			processes and
			procedures are in
			place to recover
			information from
			logs.
4.2	lles of other course of the	5065	Evidence of ChD
4.3	Use of other communications	SOGP –	Evidence of SbD
	services must be reviewed and	AC1	(Secure-by-design) process starting at
	approved by an appropriate business manager or supervisor.	NIST CSF	project initiation and
	manager or supervisor.	- PR.AC.7	incorporating design
		T N.AC.7	blueprints and
			architectural
			principles, from
			defined security
			architecture. Based
			on Threat and risk
			assessments.

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
			Evidence of a formal change control process including business representatives.
4.4	Guidance, processes and procedures must be in place to ensure electronic communications are used in line with data protection policies.	SOGP – SG1.1	Evidence of procedural and standards documentation. Where risks are identified documented evidence of risk mitigations.
4.5	Mechanisms must be in place to ensure the authenticity of electronic communications and prevent spoofing, hijacking or "man in the middle" threats.	SOGP – AC1 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of an access control policy including details of the requirements for voicemail, based on the outputs from the SbD (Secure-bydesign) process, for technical and procedural risk mitigation.
4.6	Use of any electronic communications systems must be limited to those who have been authorised.	SOGP – AC1 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of an access control policy including details of the requirements for voicemail, based on the outputs from the SbD (Secure-bydesign) process, for technical and

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Reference	Minimum requirement	Control reference	Compliance Metric
			procedural risk mitigation.
4.7	Identity and access management must be in place to prevent unauthorised use of electronic communications.	SOGP – AC1 NIST CSF - PR.AC.7	Evidence of an access control policy including details of the requirements for voicemail, based on the outputs from the SbD (Secure-bydesign) process, for technical and procedural risk mitigation.

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document





Communication approach

This document will be communicated as follows:

- Internal peer review by the members of the National Cyber Policy & Standards Working Group (NCPSWG), which includes PDS and representatives from participating forces.
- Presentation to the National Cyber Policy & Standards Board (NCPSB) for approval.
- Formal publication and external distribution to PDS community, police forces and associated bodies.

Measurables generated by adopting this standard can also form part of regular cyber management reporting.

Review Cycle

This standard will be reviewed at least annually (from the date of publication) and following any major change to Information Assurance (IA) strategy, membership of the community, or an identified major change to the cyber threat landscape. This ensures IA requirements are reviewed and that the standard continues to meet the objectives and strategies of the police service.

Document Compliance Requirements

(Adapt according to Force or PDS Policy needs.)

Equality Impact Assessment

(Adapt according to Force or PDS Policy needs.)

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document

CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL





Document Information

Document Location

PDS - National Policing Policies & Standards

Revision History

Version	Author	Description	Date
0.1	PDS Cyber	Initial version	18/12/23
0.2	PDS Cyber	Updates following peer review	09/02/24

Approvals

Version	Name	Role	Date
1.0	NCPSB	National Cyber Policy & Standards Board	23/05/24

Document References

Document Name	Version	Date
ISF - Standard of Good Practice (for Information Security)	v2024	03/2024
ISO 27002:2022 - Information security, Cybersecurity and privacy protection – Information security controls	v2022	02/2022
CIS Controls	v8	05/2021
NIST Cyber Security Framework	V2	02/2024
CSA Cloud Controls Matrix	v4	01/2021
10 Steps to Cyber Security - NCSC.GOV.UK	Web Page	05/2021

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document

CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL





NCSC Pattern – Safely importing data	Web Page	07/2018
Set up government email services securely - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	Web Page	04/2024
Email security standards MTA-STS and TLS-RPT - UK Government Security	Web Page	04/2024

VERSION: 1 **DATE**: 09/02/24

REFERENCE: PDS-CSP-STD-EC

COPYRIGHT: Police Digital Services **DOCUMENT SIZE**: 27-Page Document

CLASSIFICATION: OFFICIAL